CONTINGENCY PLANNING GROUP NAMED

In view of the possible threat to our Commonwealth appropriation, we have called together an ad hoc group to give advice on whatever emergencies may arise as a result of governmental actions. While we believe that the legislature will behave in an enlightened fashion, prudence dictates contingency planning for all eventualities.

The group does not displace roles of the Budget Committee, the Educational Planning Committee, the Council of Deans, or other bodies that must ultimately be involved should emergencies arise.

The members of the ad hoc group are:

- Eliot Stellar (Chairman), Provost
- Ralph Amado, 1975-76 Senate Chairman
- Donald Carroll, Dean of the Wharton School
- Paul Gaddis, Senior Vice-President for Management and Finance
- Vartan Gregorian, Dean of the Faculty of Arts and Sciences
- John N. Hobstetter, Associate Provost for Academic Planning
- Arthur Humphrey, Dean of the Medical School
- Irving Kravis, 1977-78 Senate Chairman-elect
- Thomas Langfitt, Vice-President for Health Affairs; Chairman of the University Budget Committee
- Robert Lucid, 1976-77 and fall 1977 Senate Chairman
- Louis Pollak, Dean of the Law School
- Edward Stemmmler, Dean of the Medical School
- Julius Wischer, Chairman of Educational Planning Committee
- Jon Strauss, Executive Director of the University Budget (staff)
- Robert Zemsky, Director of Planning Analysis (staff)

Meet at the Manor...page 7.

COUNCIL: AGENDA SET

The University Council meets on November 9 from 4 to 6 p.m. in the Council Room, Furness Building. On the agenda: reports from the Provost and the chairman of the Steering Committee; action on a proposed charge to the ad hoc committee on University relationships with federal agencies (page 7); and a discussion of the brief to the U.S. Supreme Court filed jointly by the University in the Bakke case (Almanac October 25).

MEDICINE: NEW CHAIRS

Two new chairs have been designated for faculty in the School of Medicine. The first Dr. Eugene P. Pendergrass Professor of Radiology is Dr. Stanley Baum, chairman and professor of radiology. Dr. Baum is widely known for developing radiological techniques for studying diseases of the blood vessels and for locating sites of internal abdominal bleeding. Dr. Sidney Cohen, professor of medicine and chief of the gastrointestinal section, has been appointed the first T. Grier Miller Professor of Medicine. Dr. Cohen is noted for his research on the physiology and pathophysiology of gastrointestinal motility.
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both the personal income tax and the corporate net income tax. Opponents claimed the proposal included changes in the corporate tax law that would cost the Commonwealth more than the increased rate would bring in.

With the question of the 1977-78 appropriation still unsettled, the Commonwealth and the University proceeded with the required steps toward formulation of a State budget proposal for 1978-79. President Meyerson headed a University delegation on November 1 requesting $21,853,500, as compared to $17,781,000 in 1976-77, based on the rate of inflation during the years in which the appropriation has been virtually static.

APPROPRIATION: HOTLINE

The Pennsylvania Division of the American Association of University Professors has a toll-free number for faculty to get up-to-date information about the State higher education budget crisis. The Higher Education Hotline is 1-800-692-7286.
MISGIVINGS ON LABOR DISPUTE

Professor Summers' letter was written to President Meyerson on August 10 and later submitted to Almanac. Because of the length of the original, only extracts can be published here. The extracts were submitted by Professor Summers who is on leave in Europe. The original is available in the Almanac Office.—Ed.

I want to express to you my deep sense of uneasiness with the decision of the University to dismiss all of its janitorial employees and to use employees of an outside contractor to do their work, and to express my total dismay at the manner in which that decision was implemented. I am disturbed because the University appears not to have given adequate consideration to the human costs and social consequences of its decision, and has portrayed itself as insensitive to values which should be central to its concerns.

I can not know, of course, all of the considerations that may have been weighed by the University in making this decision, and there may be justification which I do not know. The most I can do is to express my misgivings.

First, the effect of the University's decision is to destroy the seniority rights of 350 employees... those years of seniority represent for many of the employees the most valuable asset they have been able to accumulate by their years of work, and for some it is their only substantial asset. For almost all of them it is their sole source of job and income security...

Second, even if the decision to contract for janitorial services can be justified, the manner in which this decision was implemented portrays an incredible insensitivity on the part of the University toward its employees... Twenty-four hours' notice of termination, particularly for employees of long seniority, shocked the conscience. In almost every other civilized society today such action would not only be considered outrageous but would be prohibited by law.

For this to be done by a University which stands for recognition of individual worth, human values and social responsibility is beyond belief... Substantial notice of at least two months, or even six months, was certainly possible...
Charges filed by both the University and the Teamsters are before the National Labor Relations Board for review. The University is complying with the requirements of the law and believes the issues can be resolved fairly, equitably, and humanly if the Teamsters will bargain about the effects of the lay-off. Since its inception, this institution has been responsive to those in its service as well as to those it serves. The present disruption should not be allowed to affect the education of the University's 18,000 students, the well-being of its 14,000 employees, or the common good.

A President's Privilege
I write to express disagreement with the opinions offered in recent letters from Professor Cohen (Almanac October 18) and Professor Callen (Almanac October 25). They believe that President Meyerson should have consulted the faculty before joining with other universities in submitting the amicus curiae to the Supreme Court.

I believe there are decisions properly made by the president and/or provost of a university without consulting other persons, except possibly some trustees. We need as president of a university a person with an academic background who has initiative and good judgment. Such a person will decide which statements, made on behalf of the university, should be presented with little or no consultation in advance, and which ones require consultation with carefully chosen persons within the University.

In the case at hand, I happen to agree with what seems to me to be an extremely well thought-out statement, prepared jointly by faculty members from several universities. However, even if I disagreed, I would have considered this to be one of the cases in which the president ought to have the privilege of deciding without consultation.

It might be in order to note in passing that, if any decision having to do with a matter of this sort had to be submitted to one or more constituent groups within the University, we would seldom have any statements emanating from the University; and it would be impossible to find a strong and able man or woman who would accept the position of president of a university.

—S. Reid Warren, Jr., Emeritus Professor, Electrical Engineering and Science and Radiologic Physics
INTRODUCTION

The original charge of this year’s committee was “to prepare an analysis of the place of professional education in the University with special attention to undergraduate programs.” It was understood that this was a vast area, and the first task of the committee was to narrow down the charge to a manageable area of investigation.

The committee decided to concentrate its attention on undergraduate education. Within this framework a variety of programs which fall under the rubric of “professional education” were identified:

1. Professional degree programs which prepare for entry to a number of professions, e.g. Wharton majors in accounting, finance, etc.
2. Professional degree programs which lead to certification by an outside agency, e.g. Nursing, SAMP, Education.
3. Dual degree programs involving a professional school and FAS, e.g. the joint CEAS-FAS, and Education-FAS programs.
4. Courses within the arts and sciences sometimes regarded as “pre-professional.”

With the varied nature of these programs in mind, it was decided to adjust the title of the committee’s report to: “Professionally Oriented Undergraduate Education at the University of Pennsylvania.”

The Senate Committee on Education decided early in its deliberations not to make recommendations which were specific to particular programs or schools, but rather to address itself to questions which have a broader, university-wide application. It does however note that, within FAS, a Committee on Undergraduate Education, chaired by Professor Robert Schrieff, has been meeting in the spring of 1977, and has maintained close contact with the Senate Committee. In particular, we have been grateful for the opportunity to work with the FAS Subcommittee on Specialization.

CURRENT TRENDS

In the decade of the 1970s, the University of Pennsylvania has found itself facing, for a number of reasons, a rapidly changing set of attitudes to post-secondary education within society at large. The increasing number of university graduates during the 1960s, coupled with a somewhat static job market, has led to something of an “employability” crisis for many Americans with a university degree. As a partial response to this phenomenon, there seems to have developed the notion that an education which costs $7000 a year must produce some tangible benefits in the job market. There is apparently “public impatience” with the results of many current undergraduate curricula which do not seem to guarantee employability. Parents and their children who make up the prospective market, have a right to ask: “Why do I have to pay for this education if it is not going to pay for itself?” (See Toombs, William, “Career Education and Undergraduate Study,” Research Currents, American Association for Higher Education, November 1976.)

These notions visualize the university as serving the larger society of which it is a part, and indeed it must do so to a degree if it is to survive. It is a very well endowed or even foolhardy institution which can afford to ignore entirely such trends in the perceptions of society. However, there is also a sense in which a university may provide some kind of intellectual leadership for society, and, in order to do that, it must have the confidence of its own convictions. In other words, it must formulate its own clear goals as to the purpose of the education which it offers and disseminate them vigorously. The Senate Committee on Education decided early in its deliberations not to make recommendations which were specific to particular programs or schools, but rather to address itself to questions which have a broader, university-wide application. It does however note that, within FAS, a Committee on Undergraduate Education, chaired by Professor Robert Schrieff, has been meeting in the spring of 1977, and has maintained close contact with the Senate Committee. In particular, we have been grateful for the opportunity to work with the FAS Subcommittee on Specialization.

THE SITUATION AT THE UNIVERSITY

Within the situation at the University of Pennsylvania finds itself in a very fortunate position. It has a long tradition of professional education and has a number of illustrious professional schools which offer programs and degrees for undergraduates and graduates. It also possesses a number of renowned graduate-professional schools. For the first time, a fully integrated Faculty of Arts and Sciences now exists, responsible for instruction and the awarding of degrees at both the undergraduate and graduate levels.

Several cooperative programs already exist which enable students in FAS to take courses in the professional schools and vice versa. The University Development Commission and its overriding concept of “One University” has shown that there exist numerous other opportunities to capitalize on Pennsylvania’s great strengths in the professional area.

Within FAS, a great deal is said about one particular group of students, the so-called “pre-med” group. The size of this group will vary according to the particular phase in the undergraduate career at which the figures are extracted and to numerous other criteria. A report which was prepared for an inspection team of the Middle States College Association in October 1974 identifies several of the problems which arise with regard to this group (see p. 47 ff.), and the entire phenomenon has been studied in depth by a subcommittee of FAS this year (1977). Their conclusion is that the dimensions of the problem are not as large as had been previously imagined. Whatever the case may be with regard to the “pre-med” experience at Pennsylvania, the Senate Committee has heard sufficient evidence to suggest that particular attention needs to be paid to those students who wish to (or else find that they must) change their career goals and thus their programs of study while in the midst of their undergraduate career.
### AREAS OF INVESTIGATION

The subcommittees of the Senate Committee on Education looked into the following areas:

1. Admissions (chaired by Professor F. Kemper)
2. Programs (chaired by Professor T. Wood)
3. Advising (chaired by Professor C. Graham)
4. Placement (chaired by Professor T. Reiner)

By adopting this format, it was hoped that the Pennsylvania student could be seen in progress through the University from the time before his or her arrival until the time of departure.

1. **Admissions.** When personnel from the Admissions Office go out in order to attract promising applicants to the University, they have to judge what is essentially a two-way process with their various audiences. In the first place, they attempt to project an image of the University which reflects the University’s self-view and its assessment of particular strengths which it has. At the moment, heavy stress is being placed on the “One University” concept, the motto of both the University Development Commission and the Campaign for the Endowments. On the other hand, they have to answer questions from interested persons about all aspects of the University. The responses of the Admissions Office staff to our questions showed clearly that their audiences reflect the interest in employment after graduation which was mentioned in the general introductory remarks. In this regard, the University of Pennsylvania reflects a general phenomenon with the Ivy League Schools, namely that it tends to attract high ranking and active students who are career oriented; it is therefore not surprising that it attracts “pre-professional” students. The majority of questions (often posed by parents rather than students) concern the niceties of getting into medical school and the dollar value of the Pennsylvania undergraduate degree; differently expressed, what is the value of a Pennsylvania degree at $7000 a year as opposed to a Penn State degree for less?

With this in mind, it seems essential that the University, faculty and administration, formulate clear goals as to the purpose of the education which it offers. These should be formulated by the faculty and then implemented by the administration. Once this has been done, they should be communicated to the Admissions Office so that they in turn may generate to the outside world a sense of a self-confident institution. Pennsylvania, in general and its students in particular have a reputation for being self-deprecating, and, while this can be an engaging quality, it may in the long run give an impression of a lack of confidence or worse. The committee feels strongly that the Admissions Office should continue to go out and sell Pennsylvania’s strength in the professional schools, both for its own sake and in the process of seeking a job after graduation.

In view of 1) the imminent appointment of a new head of the Admissions Office, and 2) the recent appointment of a coordinator in FAS to work with the Admissions Office (allegedly to similar persons in other schools), the committee is aware that changes are already under way. Further changes are envisaged in the report of the Provost’s Task Force on Admissions (chaired by Professor J. Bordogna). Within this dynamic framework, we would recommend the following:

1. There should be increased contact between schools and departments and the Admissions Office, so that the goals and content of the University’s various programs can be effectively and accurately portrayed by the personnel of the Admissions Office in their recruiting campaigns.
2. Faculty members in all schools remain cognizant of admissions trends by continually serving on the admissions slate.
3. In view of the predicted changes in the size and nature of significant portions of the applicant pool, sufficient financial resources must be made available to the Admissions Office to begin the process of broadening the base of applications to the University.

2. **Programs.** It was noted above that trends in higher education are subject to fluctuation, and that, at the moment, in contrast to the decade of the 1960s, the emphasis appears to be very much on the use of a degree in the quest for employment. It is hardly surprising that the professional schools at Pennsylvania feel reasonably comfortable with this state of affairs while there is a certain amount of unease within the more traditional areas of the liberal arts, and especially the humanities. Even so, there are programmatic questions which need to be addressed by all schools, if the best advantage is to be taken of Pennsylvania’s unique strength, namely that of having a liberal arts college and a number of professional schools in such close physical proximity on a single campus.

Some members of our committee have pointed out that, if the liberal arts find themselves beset by “pre-professionalism,” then many programs within the professional schools also find themselves under some pressure from the outside to convert to a “get back to basics” approach to professional education: business school graduates may well be tested in the future on their ability to undertake specific managerial tasks; law school graduates will tend to be assessed as advocates as much as writers of briefs and memoranda. In other words, the professional schools themselves may be under pressure to become less concerned with theory, public and social policies alike, and more involved with the practicalities of professions.

From this information provided by members of our committee, we draw the conclusion that all divisions of the University should assess the relationship within their programs of the need for a broad and liberal education and the necessity of earning a living, with or without further education or training. The President of the University has addressed himself to this subject and in particular to the need for some combination of the theoretical and practical:

There is an opportunity for us to achieve a new synthesis of liberal and professional learning and to respond to a cultural spirit– partly humanitarian, partly careerist– in our students by doing so. Similarly by adding to the intrinsically valuable academic studies that devotion to social purpose, which is so typically a part of the spirit of service of the professions, we might give those students who find the traditional studies empty of purpose a sense of their ultimate relevance. We might also open new paths to liberal education through some of the methods, insights, and research of transformed professional education. Those of us in colleges and universities ought to help unite the profession or the calling with liberal learning. Just as liberal learning can enlarge understanding of professions, so comprehension of professions illuminates liberal learning. (Meyerson, Martin, “Civilizing Education: Uniting Liberal and Professional Learning.” Daedalus, Fall, 1974. 173 ff.)

Within this general area, the Senate Committee on Education endorses the concept of the “core curriculum” as being considered by the FAS Committee, and has the following recommendations:

1. that dual degree programs (such as the current CEAS-FAS, and Education-FAS programs) should be encouraged. The increased use of submatriculation toward a master’s degree might serve to make such programs more attractive to students.
2. that alongside the liberal arts components of undergraduate degree programs in the professional schools, a number of “professional minors” should be developed for students whose major emphasis lies in the liberal arts. Examples of such minors might include: language specialties, technical training, accounting, and medical illustration. These are merely a few of the large number of possible combinations.

This is probably the appropriate point at which to record the general feeling of this committee (shared apparently by many others) that the concept of “One University,” implying as it does the cooperation of different divisions of the University in the instructional process (such as we are advocating here), seems to be hampered to a large degree by the implementation of the current budgetary procedures of the institution, the so-called “responsibility center” concept. This system, which may have excellent diagnostic capabilities, seems to have become a prescriptive mechanism and to have created a number of theoretical and/or disciplinary islands at precisely that time when the “One University” concept is exhorted us to devise all kinds of cooperative ventures in education. Whether the system itself is at fault or merely the means by which it is being implemented at the moment, we are not able to say. However, as we recommend an increase in the number of inter-school and inter-departmental programs, it seems appropriate to suggest that the budgetary mechanisms involved may need to be re examined.

3. **Advising.** During the past few years, advising at Pennsylvania has undergone changes which have improved upon the previous situation in a number of ways. In the first place, several advisory services have been brought together under an office which also has responsibilities within the area of general undergraduate education, namely the Vice Provost for Undergraduate Studies and University Life. Secondly, the separate academic advising functions of the College and College for Women were combined into a joint advising office with FAS.

The committee feels very strongly that advising, whether academic or “professional,” should be seen as a continuous throughout the student’s career. With regard to academic advising, there remains a need for a greater flow of information to academic advisors from the central data-keeping offices concerning the current progress of students, but significant steps have already been taken in that direction and further improvements are presumably predicted on the availability of a larger staff. There is also a
widespread feeling that there needs to be a greater faculty involvement in the process of advising students. Some schools have already implemented or are planning expanded advising services for their students, and such additions may help solve some of the more common academic or psychological problems.

The majority of the career and vocational interviewing on the campus takes place in the Career Advising Office and the Counseling Service. The former includes specialists on the fields of health and law and houses the Health Professions Advisory Board which maintains files on all students who register themselves with the office as desiring entry into a graduate school in the health professions. The Counseling Service provides psychological counseling for students and also undertakes vocational testing. This office performs the especially crucial function of trying to redirect the significant number of students who become disillusioned with or fail to meet the perceived or real standards of the "pre-med" curriculum and to suggest alternative career goals toward which they might aspire. The Senate Committee was convinced of the need for a direct connection between vocational and psychological advice and considers that the two functions would remain within the Counseling Service.

Significant progress has already been made in the process of coordinating the activities of these offices. An assistant to the vice provost already chairs two coordinating groups, the larger of which includes representatives from Academic Advising, Career Advising, Counseling Service, Supportive Services and Financial Aid. We note the important role which Financial Aid plays in the deliberations of these bodies, and would suggest that attention be given to the possible linkage between career goals of students and work-study opportunities, especially during the summer.

In view of the importance which we have attached above to the communication of all kinds of information to the Admissions Office, we would recommend:

That a senior representative from the Admissions Office be included in any collective committee or group which involves the discussion of career advising and counseling for students.

There is, then, a real sense in which the committee sees many of its ideas in the process of being implemented or at least planned for in happier budgetary times. To the general picture, we would like to add one element which is thus far missing, namely placement.

4. Placement. The committee would like to see a situation (and a structure to implement it) in which placement came at the end of a progression through the University.

Thus far, it seems fair to say that the Placement Office's main function has been to bring to the attention of prospective employers the availability and talents of Pennsylvania graduates and to invite representatives of various professions and business concerns to visit the campus in order to interview students as prospective employees. Up till now, there has been a strong emphasis on the development aspect of this exercise (in other words, on obtaining donations to the University from alumni serviced in this way and also from businesses which have hired Pennsylvania's talented products); indeed, Placement has been budgeted within the Office of Development.

Within this framework, there seems little doubt that this function has kept the office extremely busy over the years, and this is increased when it is realized that the service is extended to and quite heavily used by Pennsylvania alumni and alumnae. The largest user of the facility has undoubtedly been the Wharton School, with some other professional schools following some way behind. Another general point which might be made is that the geographical distance of the office from the campus (40th and Chestnut) does not aid communication between the Placement Office and the remainder of the University.

If the Placement Office is to serve a function as the analogous office at the end of the student's faculty experience to the Admissions Office at the beginning, then there obviously needs to be some more contact between this office and the various schools and departments of the University. The Placement Office has voiced the hope that such lines of communication can be established. This should be seen as a two-way process. Some schools and certain individuals within schools have already established contact with the Placement Office, and this pattern should be expanded. Some means need to be found for greater university-wide faculty contact with Placement.

With this in mind, we would recommend:

That a Faculty Advisory Board be established to provide advice to the Director of the Placement Office and to facilitate all necessary contacts between personnel in the office and members of the University faculty. The Placement Office should be encouraged to turn towards the University and to solicit from its faculty ideas about the programs they offer in ways which will be useful to the Placement Office as they attempt to match students and jobs or prospective employers. This is not, of course, to suggest that the faculty needs to go into the job-hunting market for its students, but that the Placement Office will be able to function more effectively if it knows a great deal more than it does at the moment about a large number of departmental and school programs offered at the University.

The connection between Career Advising and Placement seems almost too obvious to need elaboration. However, the history of the development of the various advisory services at Pennsylvania seems until recently to have worked against any sort of coordination of the activities of these two offices. We would recommend:

That a way be found to unite the functions of Career Advising and Placement within the purview of the Vice Provost for Undergraduate Studies and University Life, and

That a representative of the Placement part of this combined office should sit on the coordinating advising committees within the Vice Provost's Office.

CONCLUSIONS

Many of the recommendations which have been made above are in the process of being considered by the central administration of the University. As is often the case, they involve in the main the development of improved methods of coordination of and communication between divisions of the institution which already exist.

Many of the suggestions made will involve an increase in the staff and therefore the budget of specific offices. We are aware that such budgetary increases may have to wait upon more favorable financial circumstances, but it is hoped that some of them can be implemented through adjustments of existing resources.

On the question of the "larger picture," the University and its role within the society, the Senate Committee feels sufficiently strongly about one particular point to put it in the form of a recommendation:

That the University of Pennsylvania should capitalize on its renowned strengths in the professional areas and not be reticent about doing so. The current climate certainly supports such a posture. However, there should be a clear notion in the minds of those who administer academic programs as to what the underlying, basic goals of the Pennsylvania education are. These should form a solid core of knowledge which can resist the more superficial trends in society, and yet the mechanism by which they are determined should be adjustable enough that they can reflect genuine developments in knowledge and not fly in the face of more significant changes. In that way, the University may be a genuine and respected leader in society, and not merely a follower.

POSTSCRIPT

The comments which follow reflect solely the experience of the committee's chairman this year, but since they reflect so closely the comments of the Chairman of the Faculty Senate to the Council (May 11, 1977 meeting), they seem worthy of being recorded.

The question of professional undergraduate education has been investigated by at least three separate committees this year, each one responsible to a different body. A committee of the University Council investigated the issue as part of the attempt to set terms of reference for discussion of the SAMP issue. In addition to the present committee of the Senate, the Committee on Undergraduate Education in FAS appointed a subcommittee on specialization in the spring of 1977, apparently unaware of the existence of either of the other two committees. If the reports which are to appear may seem to duplicate each other, it can hardly be the case of much surprise.

Within such a large university as Pennsylvania, there are, of course, a number of different constituencies, each with its own assembly, council, or whatever. However, there must surely be a more effective way of coordinating the activities of Council, Senate, and school committees so as to avoid the considerable duplication of effort which has occurred this year. This need would in no way remove from any constituency the right to investigate proper areas of concern, but would permit the exchange of information and avoid the considerable waste of man hours which seems to have resulted from the situation during this academic year.

Senate Committee on Education
Roger M. A. Allen (Oriental studies), Chairman
June Axinn (social work)
Charles D. Graham (metallurgy and materials science)
Frederick G. Kempin (business law)
Malinda Murray (nursing)
Thomas A. Reiner (regional science)
Thomas H. Wood (physics)
Charles R. Wright (communications)
HIRING RESTRICTIONS
Hiring and internal transfers of staff continue to be restricted by the hiring suspension which was put into effect on October 21, 1977. Exceptions will be considered for those positions supported by sponsored research (ledger 5) and restricted budgets (ledgers 6 and 8). Position vacancies within these categories are posted on the bulletin boards in the locations listed below.

Gerald L. Robinson,
Executive Director of Personnel Relations

Bulletin board locations:
College Hall, first floor next to room 116
Towne Building, mezzanine lobby
Veterinary School, first floor next to directory
Leidy Labs, first floor next to room 102
Anatomy-Chemistry Building, near room 358
Rittenhouse Labs, east staircase, second floor
L.RSM, first floor opposite elevator
Johnson Pavilion, first floor next to directory
Logan Hall, first floor near room 117
Social Work, first floor
Richards Building, first floor near mailroom
Law School, room 28 basement

CHARGE TO THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON CURIA
The University Council will take action on this suggested charge to the University Council ad hoc Committee on University Relationships with Intelligence Agencies (CURIA) at its meeting on November 9.

The Committee will:

1. Examine established University policies such as the Integrated Statement of University Policy on Conduct of Research Programs, the Policy on Extramural Consultative and Business Activities of Fully Affiliated Faculty Members, and the Guidelines on the Confidentiality of Student Records, and determine on the basis of the Church Committee report and other public documents (eg., testimony before and reports of other committees, press accounts) whether such policies adequately protect against possible abuses in the relationships between the University and/or individuals affiliated with it, and external agencies.

2. In the context of the above, consider to what extent it may be desirable or proper for the University to establish distinct policies and guidelines governing relationships between the University, and/or individuals affiliated with it, and intelligence agencies.

3. On the basis of the results of its deliberations recommend to the University Council such modifications of, and additions to, established policies as may be appropriate.

REPORT ON THE WHARTON TENURE RESOLUTION
The Senate Advisory Committee approved the conclusions of this report of the Subcommittee on the Wharton Tenure Resolution, and forwards the report with SAC's endorsement to the Faculty Senate for action on November 30.

The Senate Advisory Committee's subcommittee on the Wharton Tenure Resolution recommends against adoption of that school's proposals to extend the probationary period ten years and to allow internal promotions to associate professorships without tenure.

The advantages of the proposed changes, as outlined to the committee by Dean Carroll, are that:

1. They would make Wharton more competitive during the present scarcity of faculty since a promotion without tenure could answer an outside offer.

2. They would allow young faculty longer to develop the interdisciplinary skills needed for research in Wharton's major departments.

3. They would improve the reliability of tenure decisions, reducing the instances in which tenure is improvidently granted.

These arguments are not altogether supported by the committee's research, and in any case, the committee saw the following disadvantages in the proposals:

1. We believe that tenure rules should be uniform throughout the University unless there are compelling reasons for exceptions, as in the case of the Clinical Track in the Health Schools. Among the reasons for uniform rules are: the value of consistent treatment for all members of the faculty; strengthening the idea of tenure in the University rather than in the school; and simplifying rules and administrative procedures. We are not persuaded that Wharton faculty positions are so special that they require different tenure rules.

2. Although any fixed tenure-probationary period is arbitrary, the seven-year limit has the merits of historical acceptance here and at many other institutions, and of approval by the AAUP. A ten-year period has significant disadvantages:
   a. The average time that junior faculty spend without tenure is increased. This reduces the number of candidates who can be considered for tenure, and thus limits our choices. More important, it increases the fraction of a junior faculty member's professional life spent without tenure. Since a faculty member without tenure is under real or perceived pressure to avoid controversy in his research, his teaching, and his University service work, his academic freedom is clearly abridged and his usefulness impaired.
   b. The emotional trauma of denying tenure to a colleague of ten years' standing would be severe. We think it possible that reluctance to take this step would offset the presumed gain in ability to evaluate candidates after an extra three years.

3. Promotion to associate professor without tenure, as proposed in the Wharton resolution, has the further disadvantage of downgrading the rank of associate professor.

The committee believes that the disadvantages of the proposal outweigh the advantages.

Jean Crockett (finance)
Murray Gerstenhaber (mathematics)
Charles Graham (metallurgy and materials science)
Robert F. Lucid (English), Chairman*
Walter D. Wales (physics)
Robert Zellen (insurance)

*Professors Gerald Frug and Jack M. Guttenag, who served on this committee, dissent from the opinion expressed in this report.

MEET AT THE MANOR
For anyone planning an academic seminar, department meeting or social function, the Wharton Sinkler Estate in Chestnut Hill, is now available for University use. Guildford, as the estate is called, was given to the University by the widow of Wharton Sinkler, alumnus of the class of 1906.

The estate is a composite of a number of 16th century English country manor homes. Most prominent on the estate is the Manor House, designed after Sutton Place, the home of England's Duke of Sutherland. It was in the library that Alexander Pope is believed to have written his "Essay on Man." The garden to the left of the Manor is a replica of the garden at Hampton Court Palace.

Fee schedule for the use of Guildford is based upon the type of functions and the number of guests. Those interested should contact Ms. Virginia Scherfel, Office of the Vice-President for Operational Services, Ext. 7241. A tour can be arranged through the resident manager, Charles Hatch, Jr., by calling Ext. 4602 or AD3-1199.
DEDUCTION PLAN FOR ALUMNI GIVING

This year alumni who are employed by the university may contribute to the Annual Giving program by payroll deduction. Our departments of Alumni Records, Management Information Systems, and Payroll have arranged this convenience for the more than 2,000 alumni who work at Penn.

If you wish to use this service, just make the notation “Payroll Deduction” on your pledge card. You may select any payment schedule you like, and deductions can be made up to and including the June 30 check. All gifts will be credited to your class and school as usual.

—Bruce G. Birkholz
Director of Annual Giving

Hear Bud, Morris

WXPX plans an hour-long program on the labor dispute which will include half-hour interviews with George Budd, director of personnel and labor relations, and John Morris, president of Teamsters Local 115. The program will be aired on both November 9 and November 13 at noon.

THINGS TO DO

LECTURES

The Goodspeed-Richards Memorial Lecturer for November 9 is Riccardo Giacconi of the Center for Astrophysics of Harvard College Observatory, who explores Prospects for Extragalactic X-ray Astronomy at 4 p.m. in the David Rittenhouse Laboratory Auditorium.

Dr. Michael B. McElroy, professor of atmospheric science and director of the Center for Earth and Planetary Physics at Harvard, will give the November 10 Leon Lecture on Man's Influence on the Environment: From the Potomac to the Stratosphere at 8 p.m. in Room A-I David Rittenhouse Laboratory.

Some Early Fossil Remains in South Asia will be considered by David Pilbeam of Yale University's Peabody Museum on November 10 at 11 a.m. in Room 138, University Museum.

Morris Arboretum curator Paul Meyer takes lecture goers to European Gardens—A Whirlwind Tour on November 10 at 8 p.m. at the Woodmere Art Gallery, 9201 Germantown Avenue.

Dr. David S. Sobel, senior statistician in the department of clinical research of Pfizer, Inc., speaks on "Time to Tumor in Small Rodent Lifetime Carcinogenity Studies—Distributions That Fit and Those That Don't" at the November 11 at 3 p.m. in E 222 Diettich Hall.

The Reluctant Regulators: The FCC and the Broadcasters' Audience is the topic for the Annenberg Colloquium on November 14 by Barry Cole, author and former consultant to the U.S. House Subcommittee on Communications at 4 p.m. in the Annenberg School's Colloquium Room.

Richard Schechner presents a lecture titled Performance at the ICA, Fine Arts Building, on November 15 at 7:30 p.m. Tickets: $3.50 members, $4 non-members.

Dr. John Godleski of the department of pathology of the Medical College of Pennsylvania discusses Characterization and Use of Pulmonary Macrophage Antigens at the Respiratory Physiology Group—Pulmonary SCOR Seminar November 15 at 12:30 p.m. in the 4th floor library of the Richards Building.

Decentralized Parallel Algorithms for Matrix Computation is the subject of Dr. Takayuki Kimura's Computer Science Colloquium on November 15 at 3 p.m. in Moore School Room 216.

On November 15 the Faculty Tea Club invites Dr. O.M. Salati, professor of electrical engineering and science at the Moore School, to speak on The Use of Technology in Education at 1:30 p.m. in Room 103 Moore School.

X-ray astronomer Herbert Friedman is the speaker for this year's Tobias Wagner lectures. On November 15 he will discuss "The Inconstant Sun" and on November 16 "The X-Ray Universe," both at 7:30 p.m. in Room A-1 David Rittenhouse Laboratory. These lectures are intended for a general University audience.

ON STAGE

Jason Robards, Geraldine Fitzgerald and Milo O'Shea come to Annenberg Center for O'Neill's A Touch of the Poet from November 9-13, preview November 8. Call Annenberg Center Box Office at Ext. 6791 for tickets and information for this production directed by Jose Quintero.

Penn Players presents Kander's and Ebb's Cabaret on Thursday and Friday, November 10, 11, 17 and 18 at 8:30 p.m. and Saturday, November 12 and 19 at 6:30 and 10 p.m. Performances take place in the Harold Prince Theater, Annenberg Center. Contact the Annenberg Center Box Office at Ext. 6791 for tickets.

The University Symphony Orchestra conducted by Eugene Namour performs on November 11 at 8:30 p.m. in Irvine Auditorium. The program includes Mozart's Symphony No. 41 ("Jupiter"), Stravinsky's Symphony in Three Movements and Gluck's Overture to Iphigenia in Aulis.

EXHIBITS

By Arts Means Necessary: Protest Through Objects, an exhibit of material from protest groups from the turn of the century to the present, is in Klein Exhibition Corridor in Van Pelt Library until November 28.

Hiroshi Nakazato, Four Series: Paint on Paper, an exhibition of recent drawings by this fine arts faculty member, is on view through November 18 in Hoover Lounge, Vance Hall. Monday through Saturday, 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., and Sunday from noon to 5 p.m.

Procedures, an environment by artist Paul Thek is on view from November 11 until December 4 at the ICA in the Fine Arts Building. Open from 10 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. on Tuesdays, 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. Wednesdays through Fridays and noon to 5 p.m. on Saturdays and Sundays.

Creator of Mad magazine's Alfred E. Neuman, Frank Kelly Freas, will have his Science Fiction Art on display in the Philomathean Society's art gallery, 4th floor of College Hall, on November 11 (7-8:30 p.m.), November 12 (10 a.m.-10 p.m.) and November 13 (10 a.m.-2 p.m.). The artist will be present at the opening, November 11.

FILMS

Getting ready for winter, the Documentary Film Series features Nanook of the North and Dead Birds November 9 at 4 and 7 p.m. in the Studio Theater, Annenberg Center.

Search for the Persian Royal Road continues on November 9 at 5:15 p.m. in Rainey Auditorium, University Museum.

At the International Cinema, The Girls plays on November 10 at 7:30 and 9:30 p.m. in Hopkinson Hall, International House for $1.

The PUC presents Deep Throat at Irvine on November 11 at 7:30, 9 and 10:30 p.m. and The Poseidon Adventure on November 12 in Fine Arts Auditorium at 7 and 9:30 p.m., both for $1. At midnight on the 12th, see African Queen in Fine Arts Auditorium for $1.50.

The original Jungle Book runs at the University Museum's Children's Film Program on November 12 at 10:30 a.m. in Harrison Auditorium.

Chac (God of Rain) filmed in Mexico is on the screen at the University Museum's Harrison Auditorium at 2:30 p.m. November 13.

MIXED BAG

Ruth Wells, crime prevention specialist, and William Heiman, rape prosecution coordinator with the District Attorney's Office, present a Safety Awareness Program in Room 13 of the School of Veterinary Medicine November 10 at 7 p.m.

A free day-long Conference for Pre-Medical Women takes place November 12 from 9:30 a.m. to 4 p.m. The morning session includes a talk by Mary Roth Walsh, author of Doctors: No Women Need Apply. Contact the Women's Studies Office, Logan Hall, Ext. 8740 for details.

Try a Football or Theatre Brunch with the District Attorney's Office on Friday, November 10, 17 and 18 at 8:30 p.m. and Saturday, November 12 and 19 at 6:30 and 10 p.m. Performances take place in the Harold Prince Theater, Annenberg Center. Contact the Annenberg Center Box Office at Ext. 6791 for tickets.
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