Lindbacks '86: The Winners Are . . .

First Place in Guggenheims

With eleven John Simon Guggenheim Fellows this year, Penn ties with Berkeley for first place in the nation. There were 3717 applicants, and 272 awards were made to scholars, scientists, and artists at 99 institutions this year. Penn’s winners of the one-year sabbatical support (which averages $21,600 per grant) included two each from two departments—American civilization and Romance languages. On the roster are:

- Dr. Paul Allison, associate professor of sociology;
- Dr. Frank Bowman, professor of Romance languages;
- Dr. Drew Faust, associate professor of American civilization;
- Dr. German Guillen, professor of Romance languages;
- Dr. Thomas Hughes, professor of the history and sociology of science;
- Dr. Gerald Lazarus, professor and chair of dermatology, Med.;
- Dr. Marjorie Levinson, associate professor of English;
- Dr. Ward Plummer, professor of physics;
- Dr. Janice Radway, associate professor of American civilization;
- Dr. Gilian Sankoff, professor of linguistics; and
- Dr. Robert Zurier, professor of medicine, Med., and chief of rheumatology at HUP.

Lindbacks 1986

Thursday at 4:30 p.m. in the Rare Book Room at Van Pelt Library, the eight winners of this year’s Christian R. and Mary F. Lindback Awards for Distinguished Teaching will receive their citations. All faculty, students and staff are invited.

Provost Tom Ehrlich gives out four:

Rebecca W. Bushnell, assistant professor of English, who in four years’ teaching English Renaissance and 17th-century literature, has “engendered extravagant praise” especially for lectures that elicit discussion and review and commentary on papers that “teaches students to teach themselves.” One colleague writes, “I restructured my own class based on observing hers.”

Jeffrey Brandon Morris, assistant professor of political science and former Faculty Resident in Van Pelt House, teaching on constitutional law, the Supreme Court and civil liberties with a “perfect balance between expressing his own opin-
A Bias for Democracy

I must admit from the outset that I am very pleased with the elections we just had for the Faculty Senate leadership. This is the third time when about one thousand members of the standing faculty participated in the elections, by informing themselves about the particular candidates and by casting their vote. It is not a small number. Out of the 1,760 members, we must remember, about 1,7 are its sabbatical in far away places each year. Another couple of hundred faculty members are also deeply involved on an annual basis in a number of research efforts that require extensive travel. Many others maintain heavy speaking schedules around the country in a way that takes them effectively out of the affairs of the campus. So, having about 1,000 members voting out of a potential 1,200-1,480 is just marvelous, although for many faculty members this vote is the only act of participation that they can muster at any given year. And all this counting does not include the fact that annually we have about one hundred fifty faculty members who are just entering the school as assistant professors, not yet having retired from the ranks of senior faculty.

Personally speaking, it is also true that I always disliked designated government. The leadership of any group of people, however small or large, should be chosen, genuinely and regularly, by the people themselves. This, it seems to me, is true for whole nations as well as for institutions like universities. Institutional officers such as university presidents, provosts and chancellors should always be chosen with the essential participation of the faculty because the primary function of such officers is to lead the faculty in pursuing the objectives of the university. Universities that excel in continuously pushing the frontiers of excellence in their fields are the ones that are self motivated by a faculty that has a mastery of their affairs and a determination to use the available resources for the advancement of their students and of the fields of inquiry that they serve.

When one considers the leadership of such groups as the Faculty Senate the absurdity of a leadership that is designated as such by only a nominating committee becomes more apparent. Even though the nominating committee comprises faculty members, it is still a very small group of individuals and can easily find itself making choices which are not the ones that the faculty at large would prefer. In the long run, and without challenges, any small group would be found choosing in a very subjective manner, substituting their judgments for the judgments of the faculty at large, regardless of how good intentions they may have. Only elections among candidates that come from outside the ranks of the various academic officers can produce the catharsis needed and restore the leadership to its popular basis on an annual basis.

How to reach out and facilitate the emergence of elected leadership year-in-year-out in an organization such as the Faculty Senate is, of course, a problem that we have not solved yet at Penn. The proposal to have a nominating committee "nominate" two candidates was not really responsive to the needs, and well deserved its demise during the special Senate meeting. The present system of elections by exception, through a petition by 25 members who have searched and found alternative candidates is also not satisfactory for the long run. What we need is a system that engenders bona fide candidates on a regular systematic fashion.

Three years ago such thoughts would have sounded strange and out of context for Penn. However, after the experience of the three years of elections, and the demonstrated desire of the faculty to have their votes counted, these proposals I hope do not sound so strange. Also the fears that elections would polarize the campus and that they will bring up leaders with extreme views are proven unfounded. The faculty have shown its ability to focus enough on the issues and choose candidates on a regular systematic fashion. The proposal to have a nominating committee "nominate" two candidates was not really responsive to the needs, and well deserved its demise during the special Senate meeting. The present system of elections by exception, through a petition by 25 members who have searched and found alternative candidates is also not satisfactory for the long run. What we need is a system that engenders bona fide candidates on a regular systematic fashion.

...
OF RECORD

Following is the text of a letter being sent to deans, directors and department chairs, reaffirming the University’s commitment to affirmative action and underscoring certain procedures that apply to the recruiting, hiring, transfer and promotion of faculty and staff.

On Affirmative Action Compliance

The special character of the University of Pennsylvania is reflected in the diversity of our community. Men and women of different races, religions, nationalities, regions, and economic backgrounds are needed to achieve the University’s ultimate purpose: to improve the human condition through the pursuit of learning. Diversity is prized at Penn not only because it fulfills a commitment to equal educational opportunity, but because it helps to create an educational environment that contributes to an increasingly diverse and rapidly shrinking world.

As you know, Penn has a clear commitment to affirmative action. We must continue to seek talented faculty, students, and staff who will help constitute a community at Pennsylvania that is diverse in race, ethnicity, interests, and perspectives.

Our clear and conscious commitment to non-discrimination is contained in the following frequently-used statement of policy: "The University of Pennsylvania, which includes the Hospital, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, sex, sexual or affectional preference, age, religion, national or ethnic origin, or handicap. The University's policy is applicable to faculty, staff, students, applicants for faculty positions and other employment; and applicants to educational programs and activities. Absence of discrimination is not sufficient. Our continuing task is to eliminate patterns of unequal treatment.

During the last months, the Office of Federal Contract Compliance conducted a major compliance review of the University’s Affirmative Action Plan. The University was found to be in compliance. The OFCCP recommended improvements, however, in data-reporting. In response, the University agreed to:

(a) Implement measures for compiling and maintaining support data, by race and sex, for applicant flow, new hires, job offers, promotions, transfers, terminations, layoffs, and recalls.

(b) Submit, by October 15, 1986, a Semi-Annual Compliance Activity Report covering the six-month period from April 1, 1986 through September 30, 1986. This report will be a statistical summary, showing totals, and totals by race and sex, for the following personnel activities: applicants, job offers, new hires, promotions, transfers, terminations, layoffs, and recalls.

The following hiring, transfer, and promotion policies are essential for the University to fulfill this commitment in its Affirmative Action Program. We ask your help in assuring that these policies are carried out:

(1) Documentation of Affirmative Action Compliance

(a) When a candidate has been selected for a position, transferred, or promoted - but before an offer is made - the department must submit to the affirmative action compliance officer of the school or department a "Statement of Compliance". Information about the sex and racial/ethnic identity of the candidate will be recorded, together with a statistical summary of the sex, and racial/ethnic identities of the applicants considered for the position. The form asks the department to indicate methods used in recruiting and identifying applicants and the methods by which the chosen candidate was identified. The required compliance data for the Hospital will be obtained by the Personnel recruiter and/or departmental Interviewers and maintained by the HUP Personnel Office.

(b) The compliance officer will review the search procedures and evaluation process to determine whether affirmative action guidelines were adhered to. In cases where a Special Effort Search is required, particular attention will be paid to the method by which applicants are identified and to resulting characteristics of the "applicant pool." Compliance forms will be forwarded to the Provost's Office (faculty), the Office of Human Resources (administrators/staff), and HUP Personnel. These offices will forward completed forms to the Office of Affirmative Action for review.

(2) Approval to Hire

(a) After the Statement of Compliance is approved, the salary will be determined by the chairman or Dean (for faculty), an Employment Specialist (for staff), and HUP Personnel (HUP employees). Approval to hire will then be given so that the department may offer the position to the chosen candidate. A "Personnel Action Form" will be completed in order to place the person on the payroll.

(b) Approval to hire will not be given unless a compliance form has been submitted to, and approved by, the departmental compliance officer; and Personnel Action Forms (PAFs) will be entered into (or changed in) the UMIS payroll system until this process is completed.

Finally, we reaffirm the University’s commitment to equal educational and employment opportunity and to affirmative action. The University has an obligation to further these principles.

Sheldon Hackney, President
Thomas Ehrlich, Provost
Helen O'Bannon, Senior Vice President
On Enhancing the Freshman Residential Experience

For more than two years, the University community has engaged in active discussions on enhancing the freshman residential experience. These discussions have centered on increasing faculty-student interaction in residences and on linking needed Quadrangle renovations to the academic and nonacademic lives of freshmen. The University has had the benefit of: the first report of the University Life Seminar on the Freshman Year (Almanac February 11, 1986); the SCUE paper, “On Undergraduate Education” (Almanac May 19, 1985); a report on the freshman year from the Division of University Life (Almanac May 1, 1984); and wide discussion of the major issues within University Council, the Council of College House Faculty Masters, the Council of Undergraduate Deans, and other groups with significant interests in undergraduate education.

Although some differences of opinion naturally exist within the University about methods, we believe there is a strong consensus about the desirable goals of the freshman residential program. Those goals are:

1. Providing an effective and challenging orientation of students to the educational life of the University;
2. Improving the intellectual and emotional transition between high school and the University;
3. Promoting the formal and informal interaction of students and faculty members in supportive and educational ways;
4. Building a spirit of community and class identity;
5. Improving the academic and nonacademic support services to freshmen; and
6. Increasing student participation in the intellectual, cultural and other resources of the University, the City, and the Delaware Valley.

Based on the opinions and suggestions we have received from faculty, students, and staff, we conclude that adherence to the following principles offer the best means to enhance the freshman residential program over the next few years.

Principles of the Freshman Year Residential Program: The organizing principle should be grouping freshmen into residential units of about 300 students, predominantly freshmen. As architectural features permit, there may be further division into smaller units for organizational or programmatic purposes. We also believe that freshmen who wish to participate in a College House experience should continue to be able to apply to all six of the College Houses and that Hill College House, a predominantly freshman residence, should remain an integral part of the College House system.

Each of these freshman residential units, which will be called Freshman Houses, will be led by a Senior Faculty Resident—a faculty member who will shape the educational program of the House. The Senior Faculty Resident will also lead the Freshman House and ensure that residents have a major role in the internal governance of their Freshman Houses, in the development and implementation of Freshman House programs, and the allocation and management of Freshman House resources. The Senior Faculty Resident will preside over this system and will be assisted in these efforts by another Faculty Resident, a Senior Administrative Fellow, Residential Assistants, upper class students, and freshmen. We envision that the Senior Faculty Resident will, in most cases, be chosen from the tenured members of the faculty; the other Faculty Resident will include all categories of faculty and perhaps others who work closely with undergraduates.

Each Freshman House should, in time, have adequate support and...
appropiate facilities—such as seminar and study rooms—and access to multipurpose rooms, computer equipment, and other resources that will promote the educational environment of the Freshman House and enrich the experiences and interactions of its members. These resources would enable the Freshman House to sponsor programs such as “Discovery and Meaning” Lecture follow-up discussions, “Writing Strategies for Freshman Seminars,” Philadelphia Orchestra Student Concert Series, “Preparing for and Taking Exams,” “Managing Your Time,” “The Impact of Racism on Life at Penn,” “The Body” Series, and computer user meetings. In addition, each Freshman House should have access to dining facilities to support the unity of spirit emerging from programs of the Freshman Houses.

We expect that the Freshman Houses will work together cooperatively, but that substantial flexibility will enable each Freshman House to develop and shift as it chooses under the leadership of the faculty members involved, with high levels of participation by the students. Overall coordination of the Freshman Houses will be through a Council of Senior Faculty Residents, chaired by the Vice Provost for University Life, and provided with staff support by the Director of South Campus within the Office of Residential Living. Other members of this Council should be the College House Faculty Master of Hill College House and the Director of Residential Living. As occasions warrant, Faculty Residents, Senior Administrative Fellows and others will be asked to attend meetings of this Council. The Council will discuss policy matters, evaluate and plan for special requirements, and coordinate as needed the educational and developmental programs in the Freshman Houses.

Sheldon Hackney, President.

We expect that the Freshman Houses will work together cooperatively, but that substantial flexibility will enable each Freshman House to develop and shift as it chooses under the leadership of the faculty members involved, with high levels of participation by the students. Overall coordination of the Freshman Houses will be through a Council of Senior Faculty Residents, chaired by the Vice Provost for University Life, and provided with staff support by the Director of South Campus within the Office of Residential Living. Other members of this Council should be the College House Faculty Master of Hill College House and the Director of Residential Living. As occasions warrant, Faculty Residents, Senior Administrative Fellows and others will be asked to attend meetings of this Council. The Council will discuss policy matters, evaluate and plan for special requirements, and coordinate as needed the educational and developmental programs in the Freshman Houses.

William A. J. Watson
Nicholas F. Gallicchio Professor of Law

Realm of Mediocrity

Regarding the new University stationery, I could not have said it better than Professor Rubenstein—“incomparable tastelessness”.

Shame on you, whoever you are, who made the decision to relegate our most dignified-looking stationery to the realm of mediocrity.

Barbara A. Johnson.
Manager, Staff Relations
University of Pennsylvania, not Penn

Reach Around Penn

Two days from today, something very important and exciting will be taking place on the Penn campus. It will be an unprecedented display of unity and concern by members of the Penn and West Philadelphia communities, directed towards improving the lives and conditions of people outside the University. The event will be an event marked by its enthusiasm and togetherness and yet remembered for its thoughtfulness and compassion. I am writing about REACH AROUND PENN, an inspiring effort to bring hundreds of students, faculty members, administrators, staff, and community members together to join hands in support of raising both funds and awareness for the homeless people of the Philadelphia community. (Note: this is not affiliated with Hands Across America)

REACH AROUND PENN will take place on April 17, 1986 (the first day of Spring Fling) at 3 p.m. on College Green and last approximately ten minutes as the group sings songs (e.g. “We Are the World”, “The Red and the Blue”) and enjoys general camaraderie.

—Sheldon Hackney, President.

Students are not the only members of the community affected by the despair of the homeless: it is a sad truth that impacts on all of us. REACH AROUND PENN welcomes everybody to join hands, pride, experiences, interests, and our hearts for a few brief moments to support the Committee for Dignity and Fairness for the Homeless—a group that runs a shelter on 20th and Spring Garden and is also committed to long range goals of education and employment. The donation is $3/person ($2.70/person for a group of ten or more) which is a small amount—less than the cost of our lunch, yet it will enable a homeless person to eat for one more day. The donation can be made on the day of the event, or before, at the REACH AROUND PENN table on Locust Walk. Questions, call 898-4831.

To present a united effort to the city, it is important that people from all schools and departments of the University at all levels participate; President Hackney will join hands and spirit with us on April 17; we would be honored if you would also join us for this inspiring occasion.

If you are joining us, please make a donation of $3. You will receive a button that will ensure your place in line.

REACH AROUND PENN
—Greg Olivier(EAS '88)
—Hayley Bryant, Coordinator(SAS '87)

ALMANAC April 15, 1986
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Policy on Computer Software

I. Purpose
The policy set forth herein reflects the following goals:

- To maximize the incentive of University faculty and staff to create and develop innovative and useful software for instruction, research and operational purposes;
- To minimize the administrative burden involved in its implementation;
- To minimize the potential for misunderstanding, controversy, and litigation over the ownership and marketing of computer software;
- To protect the interests and rights of all parties that may be involved in the creation and development of software—faculty, support staff, students, the University, and, in some cases, outside contractors; and
- To encourage the University to develop a mechanism to facilitate interaction between creators of computer software and potential developers of software.

II. Policy Provisions
1. Under ordinary circumstances, and as a general premise, the creator (or creators) of computer software shall be deemed the owner of the software in the sense that the creator has the right to market the software directly or through arrangements with commercial enterprises or the University. The creator is not obligated to share any part of the revenue from the sale or licensing of the software with the University or, except for Provision 3, with any office or organization within the University. This policy is applicable even when the creator used University equipment and facilities and received financial support from the University for the specific project.

2. In recognition of the special relationship between the University and its employees (including faculty) and students and the practical difficulty of defining the equities in the various circumstances under which software may emerge, the University shall have the absolute, unrestricted right to use without charge, for any purpose, any software created by or through the efforts of its personnel.

3. In addition to Provision 2, the University shall have a non-exclusive right to market or license any software created by its faculty and staff (and students when participating in University-sponsored or University-related projects.) If the University invokes its marketing option, whether acting alone or in concert with an external developer, its net revenues shall be allocated in accordance with the existing patent policy. (See box.)

4. The creator of any item of software may petition the University to waive its non-exclusive marketing rights. The determining official for this action is the Vice Provost for Computing. Such a petition should include a description of the software sufficient to enable that official to make a tentative judgment as to whether commercial potential exists.

5. When software is developed through the efforts of two or more persons, the potential financial interests of the various parties shall be made clear in advance by a private agreement or understanding. Under such joint undertakings, faculty members have a special obligation to deal fairly with junior faculty and students. If the software is created by a research center or other recognized entity of the University, the entity may adopt a stated and consistently applied policy of vesting all rights to the software in the entity, preempting the more general rights of the University.

6. When any employees of the University, whether faculty or support staff, or any outside contractor, have been given a specific task of creating or enhancing a particular software product, with full support for the project coming from the University, the latter shall routinely require that all participants in the project waive in writing any financial interest in the product that might otherwise accrue.

7. If the work leading to creation of a specific piece of software is sponsored by a contract between the University and a government agency, business firm, foundation, or other external institution, the provisions of the contract under which the work is performed take precedence over this policy.

III. Effective Date
This policy shall become effective upon approval by the Trustees of the University. All contractual arrangements entered into by University personnel after the effective date of the policy for the purpose of exploiting the commercial potential of software created by such personnel shall be subject to the provisions of this policy. Any contracts entered into before that date shall be "grandfathered" and enforceable according to their terms.

IV. Review and Evaluation
This policy shall be formally reviewed and evaluated after it has been in operation for three years.

Excerpt from the Patent Policy
Following is the portion of Section II (Procedures for Implementing the Patent Policy of the University Of Pennsylvania) that is referred to in the Policy on Computer Software Developed at the University. The full patent policy is in The Research Investigator's Handbook, available from the Office of Research Administration.

II.A.2.c. Royalties or other income received by the University from patent revenues will be distributed as follows:

1) 50% of the first $200,000 net patent revenue will be distributed to the inventor(s);

2) 25% of the next $800,000 net patent revenue will be distributed to the inventor(s);

3) 15% of the net patent revenue of the next $4,000,000 will be distributed to the inventor(s);

4) 10% of the net patent revenue of all subsequent returns will be distributed to the inventor(s).

The University's share of revenues results from any invention or discovery from work carried out on University time will be used to support research at the University. Thirty percent (30%) of such revenues will be placed directly in the Research Foundation to be dealt with by standard procedures of the Foundation Board. Priority for the remaining seventy percent (70%) should be given to support research close to the origin of the work which generated the patent.

i) For net patent income less than $100,000 per year: The 70% may be distributed by the Vice Provost for Research, after consultation with the home department chairperson in response to research proposals of merit from the inventor(s), from the home department of the inventor(s) and from faculty members of the home department and school of the inventor(s) with priorities in that order.

ii) For net patent income in excess of $100,000 per year: The 70% will be distributed by the Board of the Research Foundation. Priority will be divided to research proposals from the inventor(s), from home department(s) of the inventor(s) and from faculty members of the home department and school of the inventor(s), in that order. The Board of the Foundation will, however, have the responsibility of evaluating the importance and merit of these proposals in the context of the broader research needs within the University.

Net patent revenues are defined as revenues from patents retained by the University after payment of expenses associated with preparation, filing, marketing, exploitation or defense of the patent.

For patents managed by a patent management organization, only the University's share of patent revenues, after payment of all other expenses, will be considered to be net patent revenues.2 All patent revenues from patents managed by a patent management organization will be subject to the terms of the agreement between the University and the patent management organization.

Distribution of patent revenues from patents arising from sponsored research will be subject to the terms of the grant or contract, as negotiated between the agency and the University.

1This scale applies only to patent revenues accruing on patents filed after April 28, 1980.

2Questions regarding division of the foregoing revenues among inventors where there are patents to multiple inventors, patents to different or overlapping inventors, and multiple patents to a single inventor, and all other questions of interpretation of these guidelines, will be referred to the Vice Provost for Research.
EXHIBITS

SPECIAL EVENTS

Grand Mass in C; Mozart's concert will be performed by the University Choral Society, with director William Parberry, 8:30 p.m., Tabernacle Church. Free admission. Information: Ext. 6244. (Department of Music.)

From England's Golden Age; Music of Orlando Gibbons: Alexander Blachly, director, The Collegium Musicum, and Mary Anne Ballard, director, the Viol Consort; 8 p.m., Church of the Saviour. Admission: $5, $3, students and senior citizens. Information: Ext. 6244. (Department of Music.)

Evening of Blues and Gospel Melodies; a concert featuring blues guitarist Etta Baker and gospel singer Daniel Womak; 8 p.m., Admission $7, $6 for members, students and senior citizens. Information: 387-5125 (International House).

ON STAGE

The Apple Tree, Mark Twain's humorous stories about life in the garden of Eden, with the Philadelphia Theatre Caravan; 4 p.m., Studio Theatre, Annenberg Center. Admission: free.

MUSIC

18 Grand Mass in C; Mozart's concert will be performed by the University Choral Society, with director William Parberry, 8:30 p.m., Tabernacle Church. Free admission. Information: Ext. 6244. (Department of Music.)

19 From England’s Golden Age: Music of Orlando Gibbons: Alexander Blachly, director, The Collegium Musicum, and Mary Anne Ballard, director, the Viol Consort; 8 p.m., Church of the Saviour. Admission: $5, $3, students and senior citizens. Information: Ext. 6244. (Department of Music.)

ON STAGE

16 The Apple Tree, Mark Twain’s humorous stories about life in the garden of Eden, with the Philadelphia Theatre Caravan; 4 p.m., Studio Theatre, Annenberg Center. Admission: free.

18 The Development and Historic Preservation of Center City, Society Hill, Penn’s Landing, and University City; Alois K. Strobi, Chief Cartographer for the Philadelphia City Planning Commission; noon, Faculty Club, a luncheon buffet is available for $4.25. Call Ext. 4618 for reservations. (The Faculty Club.)

21 Enzymatic Functions Closely Associated with Proteins of the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC); Stephen Roth, professor and chairman, department of biology; noon, Suite 100-101, Med Labs Building (Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine).

22 Gas Exchange and Blood Flow in Liquid Filled Lung; Dr. Thomas Shafer, department of physiology, School of Medicine, Temple University; 12:30 p.m., Physiology Library, Richards Building, (Respiratory Physiology Group and Department of Anesthesiology).

23 Consequence of Endothelial Cell Injury on the Plasminogen Activator System; Susan Tanenbaum, Hematology-Oncology Section; 4 p.m., Duke Auditorium A, Medical Education Building (Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics).

Deadlines

The deadline for the weekly calendar update entries is Monday, a week before the Tuesday of publication. The deadline for the Summer pullout is Monday, May 12. Send to Almanac, 3601 Locust Walk 6224 (second floor of the Christian Association).

For Bald Men Only

Males between the ages of 18 and 49 who are bald on the crown of their head may be eligible to participate in a study being conducted by HUP’s Department of Dermatology with a new formulation of Minoxidil, a hypertension drug that when applied topically has been successful in growing hair. Information: call Joan Johnson at 662-6722.
Call for Nominations

The A-I Assembly was established "to provide a forum whereby administrative opinion can be collected and expressed on problems facing the University and higher education.

A. To provide a mechanism for supplying voting representatives to sit on all major University committees affecting administrative personnel or administrative functions.

B. To provide a regularly meeting organization where communications across organizational lines can be fostered."

The Assembly has representation on University Council, Almanac Advisory Board and the Personnel Benefits Committee. The Executive Committee of the Assembly is frequently invited to provide advice and comment on a variety of issues and policies affecting the administrative staff members of the University. To fulfill its purpose as the representative organization for A-I staff, the Assembly must have energetic and enlightened leadership. We need your assistance in identifying those individuals who will devote their time and talent to assuring its success.

Nominations are invited for President-elect and Secretary-elect, and for three vacancies on the Executive Committee. If you would be willing to serve the Assembly and its membership, please feel free to nominate yourself. The only criteria are that you be an A-I and be willing to spend some time furthering the goals of the organization. Please send names of individuals who you would recommend for any or all of these positions to Carol Vorchheimer, 3800 Locust Walk/6190, by April 22.

The election will be held on May 27 in Room 351, Steinberg Hall-Dietrich Hall at our Annual Spring Meeting planned for 2 p.m. Our featured speaker this year will be Mrs. Helen O'Bannon, senior vice president.

—Shirley Hill, Chair

### Department of Public Safety Crime Report—Week Ending Sunday, April 13

The following report includes a weekly count of all reported crimes on campus, a listing of all reported crimes against the person(s), as well as the campus area where the highest amount of crime has occurred that week with a listing of those crimes.

#### Total Crime

**Crimes Against the Person—3, Burglary—12, Theft—14, Theft of Auto—0, Criminal Mischief—2, Trespass—2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time Reported</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Incident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-9-86</td>
<td>9:47 PM</td>
<td>Locust footbridge</td>
<td>Male assaulted and robbed of $39.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-10-86</td>
<td>5:18 PM</td>
<td>Van Pelt Library</td>
<td>Wallet demanded and taken from complainant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-13-86</td>
<td>2:03 PM</td>
<td>Stouffer Walk</td>
<td>Suspects tried to take a gold chain from complainant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9-86</td>
<td>9:05 PM</td>
<td>Williams Hall</td>
<td>Wallet taken. Apprehension made. Wallet recovered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-11-86</td>
<td>1:33 PM</td>
<td>Williams Hall</td>
<td>Secured bike recovered.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Spruce St. to Locust Walk, 34th St. to 36th St.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time Reported</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Incident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-7-86</td>
<td>8:58 AM</td>
<td>College Hall</td>
<td>Cash taken from an office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7-86</td>
<td>4:08 PM</td>
<td>Houston Hall</td>
<td>Unattended knapsack taken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9-86</td>
<td>7:45 PM</td>
<td>College Hall</td>
<td>Computer equipment taken from room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9-86</td>
<td>8:52 PM</td>
<td>Furness Bldg.</td>
<td>Secured bike taken from rack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9-86</td>
<td>9:05 PM</td>
<td>Williams Hall</td>
<td>Wallet taken. Apprehension made. Wallet recovered.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Spruce St. to Hamilton Walk, 36th St. to 38th St.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time Reported</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Incident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-10-86</td>
<td>12:12 PM</td>
<td>Stouffer Dining</td>
<td>Unattended wallet taken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-13-86</td>
<td>2:03 AM</td>
<td>Stouffer Walk</td>
<td>2 suspects tried to take a gold chain from complainant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-13-86</td>
<td>2:06 AM</td>
<td>Hopkinskin Dorm</td>
<td>Radio taken from room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-13-86</td>
<td>3:35 AM</td>
<td>Butcher Dorm</td>
<td>AM-FM cassette player taken from room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-13-86</td>
<td>1:03 PM</td>
<td>Class of 28</td>
<td>Secured bike taken from rack</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Locust Walk to Walnut St. 34th St. to 36th St.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time Reported</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Incident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-7-86</td>
<td>5:11 PM</td>
<td>Van Pelt Library</td>
<td>Wallet taken from unattended backpack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9-86</td>
<td>2:59 PM</td>
<td>Van Pelt Library</td>
<td>Wallet taken from unattended jacket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-10-86</td>
<td>5:18 PM</td>
<td>Van Pelt Library</td>
<td>Wallet demanded and taken from complainant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-12-86</td>
<td>4:37 PM</td>
<td>3400 Walnut Street</td>
<td>Calculator, bag and glasses taken from room</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hamilton Walk to Service Drive, 35th St. to 38th St.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time Reported</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Incident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-7-86</td>
<td>9:39 AM</td>
<td>Medical School</td>
<td>Radio taken from room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9-86</td>
<td>12:07 PM</td>
<td>Medical School</td>
<td>Cash taken from room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9-86</td>
<td>2:58 PM</td>
<td>Medical School</td>
<td>Wallet taken from unattended backpack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-10-86</td>
<td>8:55 AM</td>
<td>Johnson Pavilion</td>
<td>Wallet taken from unattended shoulder bag</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Locust Walk to Spruce St.—40th St.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time Reported</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Incident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-7-86</td>
<td>7:37 AM</td>
<td>Evans Building</td>
<td>VCR taken from room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9-86</td>
<td>10:32 AM</td>
<td>Levy Building</td>
<td>Dental equipment taken from basement area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-11-86</td>
<td>1:13 PM</td>
<td>Evans Building</td>
<td>Dental equipment taken from two lockers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Safety Tip:
When you observe questionable persons on campus, call Public Safety immediately. Don't wait until a crime is committed. A pro-active community is a safer community.

### Blood Drive at Faculty Club: April 16

Faculty, staff and students are joining together in response to the American Red Cross’ need for everyone who is able to give a pint of blood this spring. The Blood Drive, part of Health Awareness Day, begins at 9 a.m. and continues until 2 p.m. at the Club Room in the lower level of the Faculty Club. President Hackney and Provost Ehrlich have volunteered to be among the first donors in line to demonstrate that it is safe and easy. Refreshments will be provided as well as other Health Awareness Day activities. For more information about the Blood Drive call Jim Robinson, director, Community Relations, at Ext. 3565.

### Almanac
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Sociology professor Digby Baltzell (right) will retire this spring after 39 years of provocative teaching and compelling research at Penn. All members of the Penn community are welcome to attend a dinner in his honor on May 18 in the University Museum. For reservations, call the SAS External Affairs Office Ext. 5262 by April 18.

Dr. R. Jean Brownlee, professor emeritus in political science and dean emeritus of the College for Women, (right) has been selected by the Association of Women Faculty and Administrators to be the first recipient of the Leonore Rowe Williams Award. Named in honor of the late wife of former provost who gave a bequest to AWF, the award will honor outstanding women of Penn.